

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/032,103	12/31/2001	Byung-kyu Lcc	030681-351 5887		
21839	7590 09/22/200	4	EXAMINER		
BURNS DO	ANE SWECKER &	RICKMAN, HOLLY C			
	CE BOX 1404	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDI	RIA, VA 22313-1404	•	1773		

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/032,10	03	LEE, BYUNG-KYU	/			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Holly Rick		1773				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no even ly within the state will apply and wi e, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timuser, however, may a reply be timuser, and the timusers of the	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)	ation.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•						
		— s action is n	on-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8,11-14,17 and 18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 9,10,15,16 and 19-21 is/are objected. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or the striction and/or the stricti	wn from co						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is require	ed if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been s have been rity docume u (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	the state of the s							

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The exr. indicated that the claims would be allowable if the independent claims were amended to include the limitation of claim 3 and a limitation requiring that the underlayer be formed from one or more of Pt, Au, Pd, and Ti. Mr. Wieland indicated that he would consult his clients...

HOLLY RICKMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER Application/Control Number: 10/032,103

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8, 11-14, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Futamoto et al. (US 6403203).

Futamoto et al. disclose a magnetic recording medium having a substrate, an underlayer for controlling the orientation of the overlying magnetic recording layers formed from a Ti alloy (TiCr), a soft magnetic layer formed from NiFe, multiple perpendicular magnetic layers including a CoCrPt film, a carbon overcoat and a lubricant top layer (see Fig. 6; col. 6, lines 4-9 and lines 37-40; col. 14, lines 2-12; col. 21, lines 50-51). The reference fails to disclose that the

Application/Control Number: 10/032,103

Art Unit: 1773

NiFe soft magnetic layer serves to interrupt crystal grain growth from the underlayer to the perpendicular recording layer as claimed.

It is the Examiner's contention that the NiFe layer taught by Futamoto et al. inherently satisfies this limitation by virtue of the fact that Applicant claims the use of NiFe for this layer and discloses that Ti alloy orientation promoting layers are suitable for use with NiFe underlayers (see claims 2 and 4).

It has been held that where claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, the burden of proof is shifted to applicant to show that prior art products do not necessarily or inherently possess characteristics of claimed products where the rejection is based on inherency under 35 USC §102 or on prima facie obviousness under 35 USC §103, jointly or alternatively. *In re Best, Bolton, and Shaw*, 195 USPQ 430. (CCPA 1977).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 9-10, 15-16 and 19-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The closest prior art to Futamoto et al. fails to teach or suggest the claim limitations directed to a soft magnetic layer between the underlayer and the substrate or between the underlayer and the magnetic layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/032,103

Art Unit: 1773

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 6/16/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the combination of a Ti orientation promoting layer with a NiFe layer does not result in the claimed crystal growth discontinuation effect. Applicant's arguments reference a comparative example in the specification to support this position. However, it is noted that Futamoto et al. teach a Ti alloy orientation promoting underlayer in addition to a Ti layer. Absent evidence to the contrary, it is the Examiner's contention that the structure taught by Futamoto et al. having a NiFe layer overlying a TiCr layer inherently produces the claimed crystal growth discontinuation effect.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1773

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1514. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Holly Rickman **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1773

hr

September 7, 2004